Charles R. Manlove

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

Department of Commerce and Labor

HSB
SET of inclosures.

BUREAU OF LIGHT-HOUSES

Washington

March 2, 1911.

Charles R. Manlove -
dismissal.

APPOINTMENT DIVISION
RECEIVED
MAR 3- 1911

The Honorable

The Secretary of Commerce and Labor.

Sir:

1. I have the honor to inclose a letter of the 28th ultimo, together with its inclosures, from the Inspector of the Fourth Light-house District, relative to the charges of intoxication against Charles R. Manlove, second assistant keeper at Cape Henlopen Light-Station, Delaware, and also charges for making a false statement in his original application papers for the position of keeper.

2. The Bureau concurs in the view of the Inspector that Manlove is not a proper person to retain in the Lighthouse Service, and therefore recommends his dismissal effective upon a date to be later reported to the Department.

3. Referring to the Inspector's statement, in the third paragraph of his above-mentioned letter, that he has called upon Keeper Marshall for an explanation as to his failure to report Mr. Manlove for intoxication at an earlier date, I have to state that the Inspector has been requested to report further to the Bureau in Marshall's case when his explanation is received.

Respectfully,

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF CLERK
FORWARDED TO
MAR 3 1911
APPOINTMENTS
DEPT OF COMMERCE AND LABOR

G. R. Putnam

Commissioner.

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

GCW P *345

Department of Commerce and Labor

LIGHT-HOUSE ESTABLISHMENT

BUREAU OF LIGHT-HOUSES
MAR 1 1911
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR, 4TH DISTRICT
PHILADELPHIA, PA.
DEPT OF COMMERCE AND LABOR

Charles R. Manlove,

Charges, and correspondence relative thereto.

February 28, 1911.

The Commissioner of Light-Houses,

Washington, D. C.

Sir:

I have the honor to transmit herewith copy of charges, dated February 21, 1911, preferred against Mr. Charles R. Manlove, 2d Assistant Keeper, Cape Henlopen Light-Station, Lewes, Delaware, and his reply thereto, dated February 23, 1911; also, communications relative to these charges, - a list of which appears in the last paragraph of this letter.

2. After careful consideration of all the circumstances attending these charges, and all of the communications transmitted herewith, I respectfully recommend that Mr. Charles Rose Manlove be dismissed from the Light-House Service. In investigating the matters referred to, I personally visited Lewes, Del., and talked with reputable citizens of that town relative to the personal habits of Mr. Manlove, and ascertained, beyond a doubt, that he is, and has been for a number of years, addicted to the use of intoxicating liquors, and that he was so addicted at the time of his entry into the Service. The gentlemen from whom this information was obtained say that Mr. Manlove, when sober, is a good worker and is in no way an undesirable citizen, but, when intoxicated, he becomes violent, and some of them requested that their names be not mentioned as having given information derogatory to Mr. Manlove's reputation. One of these

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

gentlemen plainly intimated that, should it become known to Mr. Manlove that information had been furnished as to his character and habits, bodily injury to the informant might be the result at some future period when Mr. Manlove is under the influence of liquor.

3. Referring to the letter of Hon. H. R. Burton, M.C., of Lewes, Delaware, recommending Mr. Manlove's retention in the Service, and suggesting the possibility of a wrangle between him and the keeper, the probability of this being the case is completely nullified by the fact (as brought out in the attached correspondence) that the keeper only admitted Mr. Manlove's dereliction prior to the case of December 3, 1910, when forced (by an order from the Inspector) to do so, and that he apparently shielded him in every way possible until he was compelled to report the facts. The Inspector considers that Mr. Marshall even neglected his duty in shielding an assistant to this extent, and an explanation of this neglect has been called for from him. A copy of Mr. Marshall's letter in regard to Mr. Manlove, written when he was obliged to report the latter, is enclosed, lettered "B". The last paragraph of this letter disposes entirely of the theory that ill-will toward Mr. Manlove actuated the keeper.

4. Attached hereto, and forming part of this record, are the original communications addressed to this office, and copies of replies thereto, lettered Exhibits "A" to "K", inclusive. (Exhibit "A" is the original application of Mr. Charles Rose Manlove, - referred to in paragraph 4 of the charges.)

Respectfully,

Commander, U. S. N.
Inspector.

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

Department of Commerce and Labor

APPOINTMENT DIVISION

Washington

MEMORANDUM IN RE PROPOSED DISMISSAL OF CHARLES R. MANLOVE, SECOND ASSISTANT KEEPER OF CAPE HENLOPEN LIGHT-STATION, DEL.

****

On the 21st ultimo he was addressed by the Fourth Inspector as follows:

> "You are hereby charged with intoxication when returning to the station from leave of absence; with intoxication when away from the station on official duty, and with having suppressed a part of the truth in answering, under oath, the questions propounded in your application for examination, the date of the jurat on said application being March 18, 1907."

With respect to the first part of the charge, the Inspector states that Mr. Manlove returned to the station on October 8, 1910, under the influence of liquor, after having been absent on leave, and that on April 6, 16 and 29, May 15 and 26, 1910, he returned to the station in a similar condition, after having been sent away from the station on duty. Concerning the second part of the charge, the Inspector states that from personal investigation, it was found that shortly previous to his entry into the Light-House Service, and at about the time he made application for examination, he was occasionally very drunk in Lewes, Delaware, and was several times arrested and fined for drunkenness on the streets of that city; also that he was expelled from a lodge of which he was a member, for drunkenness and for cursing another member of the lodge.

In support of his charges the Inspector states that he made a personal investigation of these matters at Lewes and learned that Manlove had been addicted to the use of intoxicating liquors

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

for years; that when sober was not an undesirable citizen, but when intoxicated was violent and when in that condition might do bodily injury to those who should furnish information as to his character and habits. The Inspector submits letters from J. M. Vessels, storekeeper, and William H. Bookhammer, manufacturer of lumber, addressed to the keeper of the station, who testify that they had seen Manlove under the influence of liquor.

In his answer to the first part of the Inspector's charges Mr. Manlove acknowledges being intoxicated on several occasions when in Lewes, but states that it occurred mostly when on leave, and that the intoxicant was hard cider. He claims, however, that he was always "allright" when called on his watch and never failed to do his duty. He regrets his intoxication and expresses the firm determination never to permit it to happen again. In regard to the second part of the charges, he denies having any recollection of being drunk in the City of Lewes on or about the time he made application for registration, states that he never lost a day's work in his life on account of drinking, and that he made no attempt to deceive in answering questions contained in the application for registration. He alleges prejudice on the part of the keeper against him.

Roman Tammany, a Justice of the Peace and attorney of Lewes, states that Manlove is a good citizen, truthful and trustworthy and that he is no drunkard, although he has been under the influence of liquor on one or two occasions since his appointment.

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

Hon. H. R. Burton of Lewes, requests that Mr. Manlove be given another chance to try to make good.

Full consideration of all the papers seems to establish the fact that Mr. Manlove has been intoxicated when away from the station on official duty, but it is not shown beyond peradventure that he returned to the station from leave in a state of intoxication. Neither is it well proven that he intentionally falsified when filling out his application papers. A keeper and two assistant keepers constitute the complement of Cape Henlopen Light-Station, and no effort seems to have been made to obtain a statement from the other assistant keeper which might corroborate in some degree the statements of the keeper. Unless the charge that he was unable to perform duty after return from absence is verified, it is not believed that the penalty of dismissal should be enforced. In view of his expressed remorse for drinking while ashore and of his determination to abstain in the future it is thought that mercy might temper justice to an extent sufficient to give him another chance to make good with a penalty of a reduction in pay for a stated period as a reminder. I suggest that the statement of the first assistant keeper be obtained.

Geo. W. Kanaday
Chief of Appointment Division.

March 6, 1911.

Approved

L-D-Le

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

Department of Commerce and Labor

APPOINTMENT DIVISION

Washington

MEMORANDUM IN RE CHARGES R. MANLOVE, SECOND ASSISTANT KEEPER OF CAPE HENLOPEN LIGHT STATION, DELAWARE, RECOMMENDED FOR DISMISSAL.

*****

The Commissioner of Lighthouses on March 2, 1911, recommended that Mr. Manlove be dismissed for intoxication and for making a false statement in his original application papers for the position of keeper.

The Department went into the matter thoroughly and arrived at the conclusion that although it was shown that Mr. Manlove had been intoxicated when away from the station on official business, it had not been established that he returned to the station from leave while in a state of intoxication, nor that he had intentionally falsified when filling out his application papers. It being noted that no statement of the first assistant keeper of the station was included among the papers forwarded by the Fourth Inspector, the Department requested that one be obtained from him and forwarded.

A statement, with a supplemental one, was received from the first assistant keeper, and submitted by the Commissioner of Lighthouses on the 25th instant. These relate that Mr. Manlove returned to the station under the influence of liquor on December 4, 1910, and that on October 8, 1910, he came to the station "drunk and not able for duty."

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

As the first assistant keeper's statements seem to supply the evidence necessary to constitute a clear case of inability to perform duty on account of intoxication, it is believed that the Bureau's recommendation for his removal should be approved, effective upon a date to be reported to the Department.

Geo. W. Kneadler
Chief of Appointment Division.

March 30, 1911.

Approved [illegible]

D-Le

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

345
14

COPY

Cape Henlopen Lt.House,

Lewes, Del., Dec. 9, 1910.

Comdr. H. O. Stickney, U.S.N.,

Inspector, Fourth L. H. District,

Philada.,Pa.

Sir:—

In reply to your letter of the 9th, 1910, I regret very much to have to acknowledge this, but it did happen, as I got in bad company, and got under the influence of strong drink, and as this is the first time, I would like for you to give me another chance for my family's sake and my own, and by the help of Our Lord, it will never happen again, as I could not get anything to do this Winter to keep my family on.

Hoping you will have mercy,

Yours respectfully,

(Sgd) C. R. Manlove,
2nd Asst.Kpr,Cape Henlopen Lt.House,
Lewes, Delaware.

345 Encls
1-3
Bureau-Dec 345 Encl
15

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

LIGHT HOUSE INSPECTOR
FOURTH DI
JAN 30 19
RECEIV

HSB

Reprimand.

January 18, 1911.

Mr. Charles R. Manlove,
Second Assistant Keeper,
Cape Henlopen Light-Station, Del.,
Through the Fourth Lighthouse Inspector,
Philadelphia, Pa.

Sir:

1. The Bureau is in receipt of a letter of the 16th instant from the Inspector of the Fourth Lighthouse District, together with a letter of the 6th ultimo from the keeper of Cape Henlopen Light-Station, and your letter of the 9th ultimo, relative to your intoxication and absence from duty without permission, December 3 - 4, 1910.

2. The Bureau regrets to note such utter disregard of the rules and regulations of the Lighthouse Service on your part, and if it were not for the fact that your past record in the Service appears clear, your dismissal would be recommended to the Department without further consideration. However, in this case, and as stated, as this appears to be your first offense, you are hereby officially reprimanded, and warned that a repetition of such conduct on your part, or conduct at all unbecoming an employee of the Government, will result in your dismissal from the Service.

3. The fact that you have been reprimanded will be placed upon the records of this office and those of the Inspector as a part of your official history.

Respectfully,

(S) G. R. PUTNAM,

Commissioner.

Recorded 1/20/1911

Department of Commerce and Labor
Bureau of Light-Houses
Washington
JAN 18 1911
Respectfully referred to the Inspector of the 4th Light-House District for the files of his office.
By direction of the
Commissioner: J. H. Clark

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

345/15

Department of Commerce and Labor
LIGHT-HOUSE ESTABLISHMENT

GCW/HFH
345
3 Inclosures.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR, 4TH DISTRICT
PHILADELPHIA, PA.

Subject: Chas.R.Manlove;
Dereliction of duty.

January 16, 1911.

The Commissioner of Light-Houses,

Washington, D.C.

Sir:-

1. I have the honor to transmit herewith an original letter by the Keeper of Cape Henlopen Light-Station,Del., dated December 6, 1910, reporting the intoxication of the 2nd Assistant Keeper, and his consequent absence from the Station without leave, a copy of a letter from this office to Mr. Chas. R. Manlove, dated December 6, 1910, and a copy of his reply, dated December 9, 1910.

2. It will be noted that Mr. Manlove makes no defense to the charge of having become intoxicated while in Lewes, Del. on December 3, 1910, he being on duty, and having failed to return with provisions for which he was sent by the 1st Assistant Keeper, the keeper being on leave.

3. In view of the fact that Mr. Manlove's record up to this time is perfectly clear, and it appearing to be his first offense against the regulations of the Light-House Establishment, I respectfully recommend that Mr. Manlove be severely reprimanded by the Bureau, with the understanding that measures looking to his dismissal from the Service will result from a repetition of this act.

Respectfully,

H. O. Stickney.

Commander,U.S.Navy,
Inspector.

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

345
93

COPY

Cape Henlopen L.H., Dec.6,1910.

Commander H.C.Stickney,U.S.N.,

Light-House Inspector, 4th District,

Philadelphia, Pa.

Sir:—

I regret very much to report that, while I was off on my three day leave beginning with Friday, Dec. 2nd, that the Second Assistant, Charles R. Manlove, went off to Lewes on the 3rd after provisions, and became intoxicated, and did not report to the station for duty.

Mr. Harry H. Palmer, First Assistant Keeper, reported the fact to me by wire, and I returned to the station as soon as possible, but it was dark before I got there.

Mr. Manlove did not return until Sunday, Dec. 4th P.M., still under the influence of strong drink, and not fit to run his watch the night of the 4th.

I wish to state that Mr. Manlove is a very neat L.H. Keeper and an agreeable man, and a man with a family of children, and I am very sorry indeed to have this to do, and I would be very glad if you would be lenient with him.

Very respectfully,

(Sgd) C.E.Marshall,

Keeper.

Sir:

I beg to have one mistake corrected, that is, that I left the station on Friday, the 2nd instead of the 3rd, intending to return Sunday, the 4th.

Mr. Manlove has read this new copy.

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

E.

345

22

GCW WAP
345

Department of Commerce and Labor
LIGHT-HOUSE ESTABLISHMENT

OFFICE OF ENGINEER, 4TH DISTRICT
OLD FEDERAL BUILDING
SIXTH AND KING STS.
WILMINGTON, DEL.

January 30, 1911.

Mr. P. W. Watson,

Lewes, Del.

Sir:

Referring to your letter of January 27, 1911, and in accordance with your request therein, it is my desire and intention to avoid using your name in connection with the case of Mr. Charles R. Manlove.

Will you be kind enough to furnish me with the names and addresses of several persons who know of the drunkenness you refer to, if possible, selecting men who will tell the facts.

Mr. Manlove was absent from duty for a considerable period, beginning January 12, 1911, said to be sick at his home in Lewes.

If the actions you refer to occurred during this period, I particularly desire to know of them.

If you will use the enclosed envelope in replying, no postage will be required.

FILE COPY.
Must not be Removed.

Respectfully,

H. O. Stickney.

Commander, U. S. N.
Inspector.

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

345
38

Department of Commerce and Labor
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
Washington

March 8, 1911.

Sir:

Referring to the Bureau's letter of the 2nd instant, with enclosures, recommending the dismissal of Charles R. Manlove, second assistant keeper of Cape Henlopen Light-Station, Delaware, the Department requests, before acting upon the Bureau's recommendation, that the Bureau obtain from the first assistant keeper of the station a statement containing his version of the matter and forward it to the Department for consideration with the other papers in the case.

Respectfully,

Acting Secretary.

The Commissioner of Light-Houses.

345
38

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

SCW P '25

345
36

February 26, 1911.

Hon. H. R. Burton, M. C.,
Lewes, Del.

Sir:

I desire to acknowledge receipt of your letter of the 25th instant relative to Mr. Charles R. Manlove, whose conduct is under investigation by this office.

Your letter will be forwarded to the Bureau, with other papers, in the case referred to.

Respectfully,

H. O. Sticknrey.
Commander, U. S. N.
Inspector.

FILE COPY.
Must not be Removed.

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

N.

345

39

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES U. S.

WASHINGTON D. C.

(Lewes, DEL.) Feb 25, 1911.

Comdr. H. C. Stickney, U. S. N.,

Phila,, Pa.

Sir:

Charles R. Manlove, assistant keeper at Cape Henlopen Light-Station seems to be in some trouble which, I am inclined to think is the outgrowth of some kind of a wrangle that he had with the Keeper (who, by his way, is not the most amiable man in the world). If it is not incompatible with your duty as an officer I wish you would give him another chance to try to make good which I am inclined to believe he will try to do. With kind regards, I remain,

Very truly yours,

H R BURTON.

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

345
34

Copy.

Lewes, Delaware., Feb. 23d, 1911.
Cape Henlopen Light House.

Commander H. O. Stickney, U. S. N.,
Inspector 4th L. H. District,
(Phila., Pa.)

Sir:

In reply to your letter received February 22, 1911, in regard to intoxication on those dates, I do not remember but there were times that I got intoxicated when I was (in) town, but it was when I was on my leave of absence most of the time. I did not drink any liquor but I drank some hard cider and it made me intoxicated, but I was always all right when I was called on my watch and always did my duty. But, I am sorry to the bottom of my heart that this has happened, and I am determined it will never happen again. And I will ask you again to give me another chance and I think that you will see that I mean to do better, as I have been doing the right thing ever since I was reported so I ask for you to have mercy on me and previous to my entering the L H S and about the time I made application and being very drunk in the city of Lewes, Del., I do not remember anything about it for I thought I was going prettystraight then as I never lost a day's work in my life on account of drinking. And also you stated that when I made my application asking that if I were addicted to the use of intoxicating beverages (I said "no"). If I made any mistake in answering them I did not do it to deceive any one for I thought that I read them over carefully and answered them the same. But, ever since I was appointed to this place there seems to be quite a number of people in town who do not like it and they have said things that were not true for I have been told that people said that I was off town nights from here and drunk when I was not off there; also there has been two or three persons (wrote) to the Keeper and Asst. Keeper asking to help them to get my place. They said they heard that I was going to lose my position. So this is all I can say in regards to your letter. If I could say anything else I would say it if it would do any good. But, I will ask you to give me another chance for my family's sake as this has worried my wife sick and has been under the care of a Doctor, and I am determined to cut the use of all strong drink (out) as I told you in my other letter.

I hate to say anything about the Keeper, but he does not like me ever since I put in for Keeper over him when D. D.Joseph was Keeper.

Very respectfully,

CHARLES R. MANLOVE, 2d A. Kpr.
Cape Henlopen Light Station.
Lewes, Delaware.

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

Copy.

Exhibit "M"

345
33

ROMAN TAMMANY, J. P.
*****
Notary Public
Conveyancer
Pension Attorney.
Wills, Deeds, Mortgages,
Legal Papers carefully
Prepared.

Lewes, Del. 2/23/1911.

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

I have known Mr. Charles Manlove, assistant keeper of Cape Henlopen light-station from early childhood. As a former Principal of Lewes High School, as a former County Superintendent of Public Instruction for this county, and as a near neighbor of Mr. Manlove's father and of late years a near neighbor of Mr. Manlove himself I have had unusual opportunities to observe him closely.

I know him to be a good citizen, truthful, trustworthy. It was at my suggestion that Mr. Manlove filed an application for appointment in the Light House Service. When appointed I felt anxious to have him make a good record and frequently inquired of his former Chief Capt. D. D. Joseph, who always assured me that Mr. Manlove made a most satisfactory and efficient officer.

It is true that since his appointment on one or two occasions while on leave he has been under the influence of liquor, but upon inquiry made of Capt. D. D. Joseph, I was assured that this did not occur while on duty. Mr. Manlove is not a drunkard.

Very respectfully,

ROMAN TAMMANY. (SIGNED)

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

Copy to

Exhibit "L"

345.
32

Cape Henlopen Light-House, Del.
February 22, 1911.

Commander H. O. Stickney, U. S. N.,

Light-House Inspector, 4th District,

Philadelphia, Pa.

Sir:

I have the honor to report that I made a mistake in the dates of Mr. C. R. Manlove's coming to this station under the influence of strong drink. It was on April 7, 15 and 29, May 15 and 25, October 8, and December 3, 1910.

Very respectfully,

C E MARSHALL,

Keeper.

(These dates are correct.)

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

345
31

Charges.

February 21, 1911.

Mr. Charles R. Marlove,
Second Assistant Keeper,
Cape Henlopen Light-Station,
Lewes, Del.

Sir:

1. You are hereby charged with intoxication when returning to the station from leave of absence: with intoxication when away from the station on official duty; and with having suppressed a part of the truth in answering, under oath, the questions propounded in your examination for examination, the date of the Jurat on said application being March 16, 1907. The specific details are as follows:

2. Since having been reprimanded on January 18, 1911, for intoxication and absence from duty without permission, it has come to my knowledge that on October 8, 1910, you returned to the station under the influence of liquor, after having been absent on leave, and that on April 6, 16 and 20, May 15 and 26, (1910), you returned in a similar condition, after having been sent away from the station on duty.

3. That upon all these occasions you were so drunk as to be unable to properly perform your duty until after midnight of the dates named.

4. Upon personal investigation it appears to be a fact

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

2/21/1911.

G R M #2.

that, shortly previous to your entering the Light-House Service, and at about the time you made application for examination, you were occasionally very drunk in the city of Lewes, Del., and that more than once you were arrested and fined for drunkenness on the streets of said city, and that on one occasion you were expelled from a lodge, of which you were a member, for drunkenness, and for cursing another member of the lodge. Yet, in spite of all this, you stated in your application, in answer to the question, "Are you addicted to the use of intoxicating beverages," etc., "Take a drink occasionally"; and to the question, "Have you ever been addicted to the use of any of these articles? If so, to which, and to what extent?", you answer, "No"; -- which answers you knew were not the whole truth, and were intended to deceive.

5. These formal charges will be sent to you by registered mail, and you are entitled to three days from the date of receipt in which to make any reply you desire to the specifications, and your reply will be forwarded to the Bureau with a copy of these charges for official action.

Respectfully,

H. O. Stickney.

Commander, U. S. N.
Inspector.

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

345
345/30

COPY**P K 345/30

Cape Henlopen Light-House,

Feb 8, 1911.

Comd. H O Stickney, U. S. N.,

Light-House Inspector, 4th Dist.,

Phila., Pa.

Sir:

Your letter of February 3 in reference to Mr. C. R. Manlove received on the 8th instant. I have the homor to report that on April 6, 16 and 29; and May 15 and 26, he was off for the mail, and at that time; or in other works there were only 2 keepers at this station, as Capt. Joseph was wway sick and that made it worse, the other time.he was on his leave. In answer to your last question, I will say he was never able to take the first watch, but managed to go on duty after 12 o'clock midnight each time.

Also on December 3, 1910, he was after provisions. And I was off on my leave and I had to return to the stationas he was too drunk to return.

Very respcty.,

C E MARSHALL, KEEPER.

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

345/24

COPY * WP F 345/24

Cape Henlopen L. H.,
Jan. 31, 1911.

Comd. H. O. Stickney, U. S. N.,
Light-House Inspector, 4th Dist.,
Phila., Pa.

Sir:

Your letter of the 28inst., in reference to Mr. C. R. Manlove's habits and character received. In reference to his last reported illness, will say I never received but one re quest asking for doctor's certificate and it was sent the next day, on Saturday the 28th.

I regret very much to say what I know about Mr. M's habits as I must acknowledge that I have not fully done my duty in this respect As I have a very sympathizing heart in me (which I cannot help) it is very painful to me to have to perform a duty like this one, but as you request it of me I will say, first, that Mr. M., 2d Assistant, has been of a drinking character ever since he has been in the service and different people have reported to me of his being under the influence of strong drink while off on his regular leaves, and many other times, when after mail.

He has also come to the station under the influence of strong drink 7 times since April 1, 1910. The different dates are as follows: April 6, 16 and 29; May 15, 26; October 8; and December 4. The statements of different parties will be inclosed.

Very respectfully,
C E MARSHALL, KEEPER.

THIS matterhas been delayed on account of getting witnesses. Other letters will follow.

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

HOS WAP
345

Department of Commerce and Labor
LIGHT-HOUSE ESTABLISHMENT

345
23

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR, 4TH DISTRICT
PHILADELPHIA, PA.

Subject: Medical Certificate not satisfactory.

January 30, 1911.

Mr. Chas. R. Manlove,
2d Asst. Keeper, (Through Keeper),
Cape Henlopen Light-Station,
Lewes, Del.

Sir:

You are informed that the medical certificate finally submitted by you is not satisfactory. Its terms are entirely too indefinite.

You will please submit a certificate covering the entire period of your unauthorized absence from duty. This certificate must state clearly and definitely

(1) - what the nature of your disability has been each day;

(2) - whether, or not, it would have been liable to produce injury to your health if you returned to duty at any time during the period of your unauthorized absence.

You will also report - at once - why you delayed so long in forwarding a medical certificate.

Respectfully,

H. O. Stickney.

Commander, U. S. N.
Inspector.

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

GCW P 545

245/39

March 14, 1911.

Mr. Harry H. Palmer,
First Assistant Keeper,
Cape Henlopen Light-Station,
Lewes, Delaware.

Through Keeper.

Sir:

You are presumably aware of the fact that charges have been preferred against Mr. Charles R. Manlove, 2d-assistant keeper of Cape Henlopen Light-Station, for intoxication while on duty and for having represented, at the time of his application for entry into the Lighthouse Service, that he was not addicted to the use of liquor, when subsequent developments indicate that this was not true.

You will please furnish in writing, without delay, any statement of facts which you are able to make, affecting either of the matters referred to above, which you will forward to this office through the keeper of Cape Henlopen Light-Station.

Respectfully,

H. O. Stickney.

Commander, U. S. N.
Inspector.

FILE COPY.
Must not be Removed.

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

LIGHT JUL 16 1918 HOUSES

GENERAL APPOINTMENT

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

APPOINTMENT DIVISION

WASHINGTON

July 12, 1918.

JUL 16 1918 8 26 AM

Mr. Charles R. Manlove,

Through the Commissioner of Lighthouses.

Sir:

You have been appointed, subject to taking the oath of office,

Keeper

in the Lighthouse Service

at a salary of Six Hundred and Sixty dollars per annum,

effective on the date on which you enter upon duty in the above-mentioned position.

(By transfer from first assistant keeper at $540 per annum.)

By direction of the Secretary:

Respectfully,

(SIGNED) W. S. GILBREATH

C
F

Acting Chief of Appointment Division.

8/1/18

Appropriation: Salaries, Keepers of Lighthouses.

E. O. D.

Vice Ernest G. Morris.

Legal Residence: Delaware.

11—3692

Entered 8/8/18

4 Insp.

Oath filed 8/8/18

Has seniority card

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

Form 9a

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

LIGHTHOUSE SERVICE

CERTIFICATE OF MEDICAL EXAMINATION, DISABILITY RETIREMENT

(Act of March 4, 1925)

May 11, 1933
(Date)

NAME OF CLAIMANT Charles R. Manlove DESIGNATION Middletown, Del., (RD #2)

Here give a complete personal description, together with permanent marks and scars other than those described below in physical examination: Rather poorly nourished white male. Frequent coughing.

Height 5'4" Weight 112# pounds. Complexion Ruddy

Color of eyes Gray Color of hair Gray

Claimant makes the following statement in regard to the origin, nature, and extent of the alleged disability: Rheumatism 14 yrs. ago, sent from Bay to Shore station by Dr. Orr's suggestion. Since that time has complained frequently of rheumatic tendencies involving back and arms. Wife has had to attend to duties of Keeper frequently. Complains of indigestion, loss of weight, "gastritis," cough, expectoration and blood sputum. Occasionally has night sweats.

Here describe fully nature of disability: Rheumatism- Thickening of several joints. Chest- Both apices- Bronchovesicular breathing, Rales present. (I believe chest findings are those of pulmonary tuberculosis. Sputum examination 1 specimen- negative. Would suggest X-Ray examination). Scrotum: Thickening of epididymis Nodes inguinal region enlarged.

Claimant describes the duties of his position as follows: Maintenance of Shore Station with wife's help. If transferred to Bay station- Exposure to weathers- rowing boats- climbing ladders- raising boats and cranking engines.

Is disability to perform such duty of a permanent character? I believe so

Is it indicated that applicant may recover from disability and be fit for restoration to active duty? No Do you recommend reexamination with this in view? Yes

I HEREBY CERTIFY that upon examination I find the above-named claimant to be disabled for useful and efficient service by reason of the disability above described, which is not due to vicious habits, intemperance, or willful misconduct on his part.

The examining physician must be in the Federal Service

Lewes, Delaware.
(Place of examination)

(Sgd) E. L. Stambaugh
(Examining Surgeon)

A.A. Surgeon, U.S.P.H.S.
(Title and branch of medical service)

(OVER)

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1934 11—10543

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

Form 9

GCW/CM

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
LIGHTHOUSE SERVICE

RETIREMENT UNDER ACT OF JUNE 20, 1918, AS AMENDED

(In case of disability retirement, execute medical certificate on Form 9a)

345
102 2

Date September 1, 1933.

Superintendent of Lighthouses,

Fourth District

Philadelphia, Pa.
(City)

I hereby apply for retirement from active duty in the Lighthouse Service effective Sept. 1, 1933, on the basis of service shown hereon.

/s/ Charles R. Manlove
(Name)

Lighthouse Keeper.
(Designation)

OFFICE OF SUPERINTENDENT OF LIGHTHOUSES

City Philadelphia, Pa. *Date* September 1, 1933.

Submitted to Commissioner of Lighthouses, Washington, D. C., recommending retirement at $ 715.65 per annum, effective at the close of August 31, 1933.

It is certified that the record of service and pay shown on Page 2 is correct as shown by the records of this office, and that all Government service shown has been verified.

G. W. HITCHENS,
Acting *Superintendent of Lighthouses.*

BUREAU OF LIGHTHOUSES, *Washington, D. C.,* _____

Submitted to the Secretary of Commerce, recommending approval.

_____
Commissioner of Lighthouses.

FOR USE OF DEPARTMENT

11—10551

FILE COPY

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

Form 9

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
LIGHTHOUSE SERVICE

RETIREMENT UNDER ACT OF JUNE 20, 1918, AS AMENDED

(In case of disability retirement, execute medical certificate on Form 9a)

Name Charles R. Manlove Date of birth September 13, 1874

Designation Keeper, Liston Range Rear Lighthouse, Delaware

RECORD OF ALL ACTIVE GOVERNMENT SERVICE

(Including military, naval, and civilian service. Each department, branch, or independent office in which applicant served in a civilian capacity should be listed separately)

	Beginning			Ending			Remarks
	Month	Day	Year	Month	Day	Year	
Army							
Navy							
Marine Corps							
Civilian employment							
U. S. Lighthouse Service	2	14	1908	4	7	1911	
Keeper and Asst. Keeper	6	12	1917	8	31	1933	
Total service							19 yrs.4 mos.13 days

Does applicant receive or has he applied for a pension from the Government under any law? No If so, give number of pension certificate or of claim:

Does applicant receive or has he applied for compensation under the War Risk Insurance Act? No If so, give number of claim:

Does applicant receive or has he applied for compensation under the Federal Compensation Act, as amended? No

If so, state the compensation received:

STATEMENT BY FISCAL YEARS OF BASIC PAY RECEIVED DURING LAST FIVE YEARS OF ACTIVE SERVICE IMMEDIATELY PRECEDING EFFECTIVE DATE OF RETIREMENT

(Compute service on basis of 30 days a month. Do not include periods of leave without pay, rations, quarters, or other allowance)

Designation	Beginning			Ending			Rate of Pay	Pay Received
	Month	Day	Year	Month	Day	Year		
Keeper	Sept.	1	1928	June	30	1929	$1440	$1200.00
do.	July	1	1929	June	30	1930	1440	1440.00
do.	July	1	1930	July	2	1930	1440	8.00
do.	July	3	1930	June	30	1931	1500	1491.67
do.	July	1	1931	June	30	1932	1500	1500.00
do.	July	1	1932	June	30	1933	1500	1500.00
do.	July	1	1933	Aug.	31	1933	1500	250.00

Total compensation for last five years of active service $7389.67

Average compensation for last five years of active service 1477.93

Annual rate of retired pay ($ 1477.93 × years 19.369 ÷ 40) = $715.65
(Not exceeding 30)

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1931 11—10551

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

(COPY;CM)

Form 9a

'PARTMENT OF COMMERCE

LIGHTHOUSE SERVICE

CERTIFICATE OF MEDICAL EXAMINATION, DISABILITY RETIREMENT

(Act of March 4, 1925)

August 31, 1933.
(Date)

NAME OF CLAIMANT Charles R. Manlove DESIGNATION Light House Keeper

Here give a complete personal description, together with permanent marks and scars other than those described below in physical examination: Small, white male, poorly nourished, nervous, thinning gray hair, slightly stooped but not acutely ill.

Height 5 ft. 3½ in. Weight 112 pounds. Complexion Ruddy

Color of eyes gray Color of hair gray

Claimant makes the following statement in regard to the origin, nature, and extent of the alleged disability: Rheumatism in back; loss of weight; inability to sit up for any long period of time. Onset of rheumatism many years ago but worse in the past few years. He claims weight loss but does not know how much. Has been told by 2 physicians that he had pulmonary tuberculosis of both lungs. Alleges injury to back several years ago through fall on steel steps.

Here describe fully nature of disability: No evidence of pulmonary tuberculosis. X-ray of heart and lungs normal. Blood Pressure 140 systolic 68 diastolic. No evidence of heart disease. General physicial examination negative. X-ray of spine shows definite localized arthritis at the 12th dorsal vertebra with narrowing of the body of the vertebra. The changes in the spine would be expected to produce symptoms. The sacro-iliac joint shows arthritic changes. Scrotum:- Apparent thickening of epididymis, (right side with small hydrocele.

Claimant describes the duties of his position as follows: (Chronic bronchitis.

Maintenance of shore station with wife's help. If transferred to a bay station he would be exposed to weather, rowing boats, climbing ladders, raising boats and cranking engines.

Is disability to perform such duty of a permanent character? Yes

Is it indicated that applicant may recover from disability and be fit for restoration to active duty? No Do you recommend reexamination with this in view? Yes

I HEREBY CERTIFY that upon examination I find the above-named claimant to be disabled for useful and efficient service by reason of the disability above described, which is not due to vicious habits, intemperance, or willful misconduct on his part.

The examining physician must be in the Federal Service

U. S. Marine Hospital, Baltimore, Md.
(Place of examination)

/s/ G. A. Abbott
(Examining Surgeon)

GAA/RVH

P.A.Surgeon USPHS
(Title and branch of medical service)

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1931 11—10543

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

(PMM)
CG-75

3-2
File
75-gha

7 March, 1947

Mrs. Mary A. Manlove
R.F.D. #1
Selbyville, Delaware

My dear Mrs. Manlove:

Headquarters has been advised of the death of your husband, Charles R. Manlove, Keeper, Former Lighthouse Service, (Now USCG), Retired, on 9 October, 1946. Please accept my sincere sympathy.

At the time of death there was due the estate of your husband final retired pay in the amount of $17.89. This retired pay ceases at death and there is no law providing for the continuance of payment of any part to dependents. The forms necessary to effect settlement of the final pay are herewith inclosed.

If there will be no legal representative to administer the estate, the next of kin or the person who paid or will pay the funeral expenses should execute the inclosed Forms No. 1055, claim for the final pay, in the presence of two witnesses, taking care to answer all questions as checked by pencil mark. If a representative is appointed a copy of the Court Order showing the appointment should be attached to Forms No. 1055. If the funeral expenses have been paid, a bill receipted by the undertaker who conducted the services should be forwarded. Otherwise the undertaker should sign the inclosed waiver form. This information is necessary to support the claim for the final retired pay.

When the inclosed forms have been completed, they should be returned in the inclosed pre-addressed envelope, which requires no postage.

By direction of the Commandant.

Very truly yours,

N. W. SPROW
Commander, USCG
Asst. Chief, Military Morale Division

Incls.
1. Forms No. 1055
2. Waiver
3. Envelope (1)

MAR 10 1947

MJM:gha Return to 2-4 rm. 2116

Reproduced at the National Archives- STL

Form 39 A

(1) Acctg. Sec. 6-1 (2): -2 File as 7/9/47

CERTIFICATE OF SETTLEMENT

GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE

D. O. Vou. No. 436 370

PAID BY
PAUL D. BANNING
CHIEF DISBURSING OFFICER
TREASURY DEPARTMENT
SYMBOL 18-409
JUL - 9 1947
Date of Payment

Claim No. 2615415

WASHINGTON, July 2, 1947 (Date)

MANLOVE, Charles R.

Mary A. Manlove, widow, as payer of the funeral expenses of Charles R. Manlove, deceased,
P. O. Selbyville, R. D. No. 1,
Williamsville, Delaware.

CERTIFICATE No.
1570444

Paying Officer will please show this CERTIFICATE NUMBER on the check used in payment hereof, and insert the check number in space provided below.

I CERTIFY there is due from the United States to the above-named claimant(s), payable from the appropriation(s) indicated, the sum of—

SEVENTEEN AND 89/100 Dollars ($ 17.89)

on account of

pay due Charles R. Manlove at date of death, October 9, 1946, as Keeper, former Lighthouse Service, now United States Coast Guard, retired. (U. S. Coast Guard Retired 21-125 1200)

2071255 Retired Pay, Former Lighthouse Service, Coast Guard, 1947

To: Chief Disbursing Officer,
(Assistant Disbursing Officer,
Coast Guard Headquarters).

MEMORANDUM

62274 $17.89

Copy for Administrative Office JUL - 9 1947